arXiv:1301.3593v1 [math.AC] 16 Jan 2013

SUPER-STRETCHED AND GRADED COUNTABLE COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE

BRANDEN STONE

Abstract. We define what it means for a Cohen-Macaulay ring to to be super-stretched and show that Cohen-Macaulay rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type are super-stretched. We use this result to show that rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type, and positive dimension, have possible h-vectors (1), $(1,n)$, or $(1,n,1)$. Further, one dimensional standard graded Gorenstein rings of graded countable type are shown to be hypersurfaces; this result is not known in higher dimensions. In the non-Gorenstein case, rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type of dimension larger than 2 are shown to be of minimal multiplicity.

1. Introduction

A ring R is said to be **standard graded** if, as an abelian group, it has a decomposition $R=\bigoplus_{i\geqslant 0} R_i$ such that $R_iR_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all $i,j\geqslant 0$, $R=R_0[R_1]$, and R_0 is a field. Further, we will always assume that a standard graded ring is Noetherian. Unless otherwise stated, we will denote by $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ the standard graded ring with $\mathfrak{m}$ being the irrelevant maximal ideal, that is, $\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$, and $k := R_0 = R/\mathfrak{m}$ being an uncountable field. A standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ ring has **graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type** (respectively, **graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type**) if it has only finitely (respectively, countably) many indecomposable, maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules up to a shift in degree.

The study of rings with finite and countable Cohen-Macaulay type were studied extensively by M. Auslander and I. Reiten [2, 3, 4] and an early survey paper was given by F.-O. Schreyer [15]. Since then, more extensive surveys have been published [19, 12] detailing many interesting tools and results. In a sequence of two papers, Knörrer, R.-O. Buchweitz, G.-M. Greuel, and F.-O Schreyer [11, 5] showed that if R is a complete hypersurface containing an algebraically closed field k (of characteristic different from 2), then R is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type if and only if R is the local ring of a simple hypersurface singularity in the sense of [1]. For example, if we let $k=\mathbb{C}$, then R is one of the complete ADE singularities over $\mathbb{C}$. That is, R is isomorphic to $k[\![x,y,z_2,\dots,z_d]\!]/(f)$, where f is one of the following polynomials:

$$(A_n): x^{n+1}+y^2+z_2^2+\cdots+z_d^2,\ n\geqslant 1;$$
$$(D_n): x^{n-1}+xy^2+z_2^2+\cdots+z_d^2,\ n\geqslant 4;$$
$$(E_6): x^4+y^3+z_2^2+\cdots+z_d^2;$$
$$(E_7): x^3y+y^3+z_2^2+\cdots+z_d^2;$$
$$(E_8): x^5+y^3+z_2^2+\cdots+z_d^2.$$

In the countable case, it was further shown [5] that a complete hypersurface singularity over an algebraically closed uncountable field k has (infinite) countable Cohen-Macaulay type if and only if it is isomorphic to one of the following

$$(A_\infty): k[\![x, y, z_2, \dots, z_d]\!]/(y^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_d^2); \tag{1}$$

$$(D_\infty): k[\![x, y, z_2, \dots, z_d]\!]/(xy^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_d^2). \tag{2}$$

Thus a complete characterization of hypersurfaces with countable Cohen-Macaulay type is given (here we allow countable type to include finite type).

It has long been known that Gorenstein rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type are hypersurfaces (for a proof see [9]). Combining this knowledge with the results above allows for a further characterization of Gorenstein rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Given this outcome, there is a natural folklore conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. *A Gorenstein ring of countable Cohen-Macaulay representation type is a hypersurface.*

In Corollary 6.4, we show that Conjecture 1.1 is true in dimension one for a standard graded ring.

The finite counterpart of Conjecture 1.1 was of crucial importance in the classification of rings of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type. In [6], D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog completely classified the standard graded Cohen-Macaulay rings of graded finite representation type in the category of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a ring R and degree-preserving homomorphisms. In doing this, they show that such rings are stretched as introduced by J. Sally [14] (see Definition 1.2).

In this manuscript, the stronger notion of super-stretched (see Definition 1.3) is developed and we are able to extend D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog's result to rings of graded countable type. In particular, we show that standard graded Cohen-Macaulay rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type are super-stretched. This in turn forces the h-vectors to be of the form (1), $(1, n)$, or $(1, n, 1)$ for some n. Similar observations where recently shown to be true in the context of wild representation type [18].

An interesting byproduct of the classification of D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog is that standard graded rings of dimension $d \geqslant 2$ with graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type have minimal multiplicity. The authors ask if this result carries over to complete local rings in general. While this question remains open, in Proposition 5.1, we are able to show this question has a positive answer for standard graded rings of dimension $d \geqslant 3$ with graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type.

1.1. Preliminaries.

Recall that the associated graded ring, $\text{gr}_I R$, is defined by

$$\text{gr}_I R := R/I \ \oplus\ I/I^2 \ \oplus\ I^2/I^3 \oplus\ \cdots .$$

For a standard graded ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$, we have $R \simeq \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$. The Hilbert function, $H_R(n)$, is the vector space dimension of the n^{th} summand of $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$; that is,

$$H_R(n) := \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}).$$

If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a d-dimensional standard graded ring with infinite residue field k, then D. Northcott and D. Rees [13] showed there exists $x_1, \dots, x_d$ in $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)\mathfrak{m}^n$. The sequence $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is called a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. A fact that will be used constantly is that in a Cohen-Macaulay ring, minimal reductions are regular sequences. Factoring out such a reduction yields an Artinian ring $\overline{R}$, and thus there exists an s such that for $n > s$, the Hilbert function $H_{\overline{R}}(n) = 0$ and $H_{\overline{R}}(s) \neq 0$. The sequence of integers

$$(H_{\overline{R}}(0), H_{\overline{R}}(1), \ldots, H_{\overline{R}}(s))$$

is called the h-vector of R and denote it by $h(R)$. In general, the Hilbert function can be represented as a reduced rational function

$$H_R(t) = \frac{f(t)}{(1-t)^{d-1}},$$

where $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. When R is not Cohen-Macaulay, the h-vector is defined as the vector of coefficients of $f(t)$.

The following definition of stretched first was given in 1979 by J. Sally [14].

Definition 1.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d. We say R is stretched if there exists a homogeneous minimal reduction $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{R}{\mathbf{x}}\right)_i \leqslant 1$$

for all $i \geqslant 2$.

In particular, if a ring R is stretched, then there exists a minimal reduction $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ such that the h-vector is $(1, a, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Here,

$$a = \dim_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2 + (x_1, \ldots, x_d)}\right) = \dim_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2}\right) - d.$$

As mentioned above, D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog were able to relate the stretched condition to finite Cohen-Macaulay type with the following theorem. We discovered that a stronger condition holds which we call super-stretched. We define this notion of stretched by considering the Hilbert series of the quotient of *any* homogeneous system of parameters. In particular, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.3. A standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ of dimension d is said to be super-stretched if for all homogeneous systems of parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_d$,

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{R}{(x_1, \ldots, x_d)}\right)_i \leqslant 1 \tag{3}$$

for all $i \geq \sum \deg(x_j) - d + 2$.

If a ring R is super-stretched, then for any homogenous system of parameters $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, the h-vector is $(1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{D-1}, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Here, $D = \sum \deg(x_i) - d + 2$ and

$$a_j = \dim_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^j + (x_1, \ldots, x_d)}{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} + (x_1, \ldots, x_d)}\right).$$

Remark 1.4. As it is, if a standard graded ring is super-stretched with an infinite residue field, then it is also stretched. To see this, choose a homogeneous minimal reduction $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ of degree one. Then Equation (3) holds for all $i \geqslant \sum \deg(x_j) - d + 2 = 2$; i.e. R is stretched.

As we see in the next example, if a ring is stretched, it is not necessarily super-stretched.

Example 1.5. The standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring $R = \mathbb{C}[x,y]/(x^3y - xy^3)$ is stretched but not super-stretched. To see this, notice that $x + 2y$ is a regular element. As a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{R}{(x+2y)} \right)_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{R}{(x+2y)} \right)_3 = 1$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{R}{(x+2y)} \right)_i = 0$$

for all $i \geqslant 4$. In order for R to be super-stretched, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} R_i \leqslant 1$ for all

$$i \geqslant \deg((x+2y)^2) - 1 + 2 = 3.$$

However, going modulo $(x+2y)^2$ yields

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{R}{(x+2y)^2} \right)_3 = 2.$$

and thus R is not super-stretched.

2. Graded Nomenclature

For a graded module M over a graded ring R, we denote the shift of M by n by $M(n)_i = M_{i+n}$. Further, we say two R-modules M and N are isomorphic up to shift in degree if there exists an integer n, and a homogeneous isomorphism, such that $M \simeq N(n)$.

All of the following results will be considered in the graded category of finitely generated graded R-modules. In particular, we want to work in a subcategory consisting of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. The objects of the desired subcategory are the obvious choices, graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. However, there is a bit of ambiguity as to what the maps ought to be; should we consider them to be homogeneous or not? We illustrate this point in Example 2.1. Throughout this section, we give a precise definition of what subcategory we will be working in. We also explore alternate ways of defining rings of graded finite (and countable) Cohen-Macaulay type.

Example 2.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring. Note that R is a graded indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay module and consider the family of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules $\{R \oplus R(-i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$. There does not exist a graded isomorphism between any two modules in the family and each module is of rank 2. Thus we have an infinite family of non-isomorphic, maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules of bounded rank. Further, if we only consider homogeneous maps, our infinite family is then composed of infinitely many indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. However, up to isomorphism in shifts of degree, there is only one indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

Depending on our ring, Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.9 allow us to handle the nuances arising in Example 2.1.

For a general Noetherian ring R, let $\mathfrak{Mod}(R)$ denote the category of finitely generated R-modules. Here the objects are defined as finitely generated R-modules and the morphisms are R-module homomorphisms. The subcategory $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$ is the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules whose morphisms are defined by R-module homomorphisms between maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. If R

is a standard graded ring, we define a subcategory of $\mathfrak{Mod}(R)$ that respects the grading. In particular, we let $\mathfrak{Mod}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ be the category whose objects are finitely generated graded modules. The morphisms of $\mathfrak{Mod}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ are graded R-module homomorphisms of degree zero. As with $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$, we define the subcategory of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules by $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ where the morphisms are graded degree zero R-module homomorphisms.

We say that a result $\mathfrak{P}$ holds in the graded category of a standard graded ring R if $\mathfrak{P}$ holds for all modules and morphisms in $\mathfrak{Mod}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. Using our new notation, we define what is meant by a standard graded ring of graded Cohen-Macaulay type.

Definition 2.2. A standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ ring is said to have graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type (respectively, graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type) if it has only finitely (respectively, countably) many indecomposable modules in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ up to a shift in degree.

2.1. **Graded Finite Cohen-Macaulay Type.** It is worth pointing out that there are a few possible choices in the definition of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type of a standard graded ring R. For example, one could use any of the following characterizations for graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type:

(A) there are finitely many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$;
(B) there are finitely many graded indecomposable modules in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ up to shifts in degrees;
(C) there are finitely many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$;
(D) there are finitely many indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}(\widehat{R})$.

Here and throughout the rest of this work, we denote the completion with respect to the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic topology by $\widehat{*}$. As it turns out, using (A) as the definition would not be very helpful, since in general $\{R(n)\}$ is an infinite family of non-isomorphic graded indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. In short, only the zero ring would have graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Thus we can safely remove (A) from the list of possible definitions. Since we have adopted (B) as the definition, the question is, how do (C) and (D) fit into the picture? In Corollary 2.8, we see that (B), (C) and (D) are equivalent definitions, which follows as consequence of the work of M. Auslander and I. Reiten.

Proposition 2.3 ([3, Proposition 8 and 9])**.** *Let A, B be objects in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ where $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

1. *The graded module A is indecomposable in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is indecomposable in the $\mathfrak{MCM}(\widehat{R})$;*
2. *If A and B are indecomposable, then $\widehat{A} \simeq \widehat{B}$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}(\widehat{R})$ if and only if there is some integer n such that $A \simeq B(n)$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring and M, N be indecomposable objects in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. Then, $M \simeq N$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$ if an only if there is some integer n such that $M \simeq N(n)$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that completion is faithfully flat and Proposition 2.3 part (2). ☐

Another immediate corollary of Proposition 2.3 is the fact that the completion "bounds" the Cohen-Macaulay type.

Corollary 2.5. *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring and* $\widehat{R}$ *the* $\mathfrak{m}$*-adic completion. If* $\widehat{R}$ *is of finite (respectively countable) Cohen-Macaulay type, then* R *is of graded finite (respectively graded countable) Cohen-Macaulay type.*

The next proposition shows the equivalence of (B) and (C) for rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type.

Proposition 2.6. *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring. Then (B) and (C) are equivalent statements. In particular, either statement could be used as the definition of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type.*

Proof. To see that (B) implies (C), notice that condition (C) has more isomorphisms in each class of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules than there are in each class satisfying condition (B). Thus, if (B) holds true, then (C) must be also be fulfilled.

It is left to show that (C) implies (B). By contradiction, assume there are infinitely many graded indecomposable modules in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ up to shits in degree. We let $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a family of representatives, one from each isomorphism class. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and assume that $M_\alpha \simeq M_\beta$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$. By Corollary 2.4, there exists an n such that $M_\alpha \simeq M_\beta(n)$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\text{gr}}(R)$. In other words, M_α and M_β are in the same isomorphism class up to shift. Therefore we must have that $\alpha = \beta$. This forces infinitely many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$, a contradiction. □

When considering indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules M, N in the graded category, if there is an isomorphism between M and N, then Corollary 2.4 says there exists a graded isomorphism between the two modules. Another nice result about the graded category is that the "finiteness" of $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{MCM}(\widehat{R})$ are the same.

Theorem 2.7 ([3, Theorem 5])**.** *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring and* $\widehat{R}$ *the completion with respect to the maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$*. Then* R *is of finite graded Cohen-Macaulay type if and only if* $\widehat{R}$ *is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type.*

With Theorem 2.7 in hand, we are able to combine it with Proposition 2.6 to obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring and* $\widehat{R}$ *the completion with respect to the maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$*. Then conditions (B), (C), and (D) are equivalent.*

2.2. Graded Countable Cohen-Macaulay Type.

As with graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type, there are a few possible choices for the definition of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type of a standard graded ring R. As described above, we could use any of the following for the definition:

(A') there are countably many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\text{gr}}(R)$;

(B') there are countably many graded indecomposable modules in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ up to shifts in degrees;

(C') there are countably many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$;

(D') there are countably many indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in the category $\mathfrak{MCM}(\widehat{R})$.

Unlike the finite case, using (A') as the definition has potential. Notice that condition (A') is just removing the shifts and only allowing degree zero homomorphism between the modules. By removing the shifts, we are only adding up to countably many new isomorphism classes with condition (A'). Hence (A') does not really add anything new. In Proposition 2.9, we see that conditions (A'), (B'), and (C') are equivalent. Further, Corollary 2.11 describes the relation of (D') with the other statements.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring. Then (A'), (B'), and (C') are equivalent statements. In particular, any of the statements could be used as the definition of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type.*

Proof. To show that (A') implies (B'), notice that by removing the shifts we are adding more isomorphism classes. Therefore (B') follows. A similar argument as in Proposition 2.6 shows that (B') implies (C').

To see that (B') implies (A'), assume by contradiction that there exists uncountably many graded indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. Let $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a family of representatives, one from each indecomposable class. Consider the isomorphism classes up to shifts in degrees. That is for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there exists a subset $I \subseteq \Lambda$, with the property that for each $\beta \in I$, there exists an integer n such that $M_\alpha \simeq M_\beta(n)$. Let $\beta, \gamma \in I$ and assume that there exist an integer n such that

$$M_\gamma(n) \simeq M_\alpha \simeq M_\beta(n).$$

As all of the isomorphisms above are degree zero, we have that $M_\beta = M_\gamma$ (i.e. $\beta = \gamma$). Hence, when we include the shifts to our assumption, for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we only associate countably many indecomposables up to shifts. Hence there are uncountably many graded indecomposable modules in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ that are isomorphic up to shifts in degrees, a contradiction.

It is left to show that (C') implies (B'). By contradiction, assume there are uncountably many graded indecomposable modules in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ up to shits in degree. Let $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be an uncountable family of representatives from each isomorphism class. We wish to form the isomorphism classes described in (C'). Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and assume that $M_\alpha \simeq M_\beta$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$. Thus by Corollary 2.4, there exists an n such that $M_\alpha \simeq M_\beta(n)$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. In other words, M_α and M_β are in the same isomorphism class up to shift. Therefore we must have that $\alpha = \beta$. This forces uncountably many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$, a contradiction. □

Remark 2.10. If a standard graded ring R is of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type then it is also of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type. This does not mean that there are finitely many isomorphism classes as defined in conditions (A'), (B'), and (C'). By Proposition 2.6, the ring being of finite type only says that there are finitely many isomorphism classes as defined in the conditions (B') and (C').

Corollary 2.11. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring. If condition (D') holds, then so do the statements (A'), (B'), and (C').*

Proof. This is a direct application of Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 2.9. ☐

In order to obtain the much desired converse to Corollary 2.11, we need a countable version of Auslander and Reiten's result in Theorem 2.7. The proof fo Theorem 2.7 relies on the fact that rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type have an isolated singularity.

Theorem 2.12 ([3, Proposition 4])**.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay standard graded ring. If R is of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type then R is an isolated singularity.*

Given Theorem 2.12, it is worth reconsidering the relation of condition (D') with conditions (A'), (B') and (C'). In Corollary 2.11, we saw that (D') implies the other conditions. It might be possible to extend Theorem 2.7 to the countable case, and thus obtain a partial converse to Corollary 2.11, if we assume isolated singularity. In particular, we would like to know when (D') is actually equivalent to the other conditions; we leave this as a question.

Question 2.13. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring and $\widehat{R}$ the completion with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. If R has an isolated singularity and is of countable graded Cohen-Macaulay type, then is $\widehat{R}$ of countable Cohen-Macaulay type?*

3. SUPER-STRETCHED STANDARD GRADED COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

The goal of this section is to show equivalent characterizations of super-stretched (Theorem 3.5). To do this, we need to build up the theory of super-stretched standard graded rings with a few useful propositions. We will use the following notation. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ be sequences in a ring R such that $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. We let $\underline{y} = A\underline{x}$ represent the relations $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} x_j$ and denote the containment of $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ by $(\underline{y}) \overset{A}{\subseteq} (\underline{x})$. Further, we define $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)^{[t]} := (y_1^t, \ldots, y_n^t)$. With this notation, we recall that for a standard graded ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ and a homogeneous regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n$,

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^{[t]} : (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{t-1} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n). \tag{4}$$

for all $t \geqslant 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d and assume that $(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ are ideals generated by a homogeneous system of parameters. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{ij} x_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. If $\Delta = \det\ A$, then the map $R/(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \xrightarrow{\cdot \Delta} R/(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ is injective.*

Proof. Notice that the above map is well-defined as $\Delta(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \subset (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. Let $r \in R$ such that $r \cdot \Delta \in (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. Since $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ is a homogeneous system of parameters, there exists a positive integer t and a matrix B such that $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[t]} \overset{B}{\subseteq} (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. Hence we have the following inclusions:

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[t]} \overset{B}{\subseteq} (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \overset{A}{\subseteq} (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$$

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[t]} \overset{D}{\subseteq} (x_1, \ldots, x_d),$$

where D is the diagonal matrix with entries x_i^{t-1}. Let $E = AB$. By [7, Corollary 2.5] we obtain

$$(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(\det\ E - \det\ D) \in (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[td+t]}.$$

As $\det\ D = (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{t-1}$, we have

$$(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(\det\ B)\Delta - (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{t-1} \in (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[td+t]}$$

and thus multiplication by r yields

$$r(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(\det\ B)\Delta - r(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{t-1} \in (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[td+t]}. \tag{5}$$

Since $r\Delta \in (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$, we have that

$$r \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td} \cdot \det B \cdot \Delta \in (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td} \cdot \det B \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_d). \tag{6}$$

By definition of B, $(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \cdot \det\ B \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[t]}$ and hence

$$(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td} \cdot \det B \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \subseteq (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[t]} \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[td+t]}. \tag{7}$$

Combining (6) and (7) we see that $r \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td} \cdot \det B \cdot \Delta \in (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{[td+t]}$. Therefore, (5) gives $r(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td}(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{t-1} \in (\underline{x})^{[td+t]}$ and thus

$$r(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{td+t-1} \in (\underline{x})^{[td+t]}.$$

Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that our sequence $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is actually a homogeneous regular sequence. Applying (4) shows that $r \in (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ and hence multiplication by Δ is injective. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d. If $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ is a homogeneous system of parameters satisfying (3), and $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is a homogeneous system of parameters such that $(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, then $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ satisfies (3) as well.*

Proof. Since $(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, we can write $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{ij}x_j$ and let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $d \times d$ matrix of elements in R. Let the $\deg(y_i) = f_i$ and $\deg(x_i) = e_i$. This forces the $\deg(a_{ij}) = f_i - e_j$. Now let $\Delta = \det(A)$ and notice that $\deg(\Delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{d} e_i$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ are regular sequences. Let $c = \sum_{i=1}^{d} e_i - d + 2$. By Lemma 3.1, multiplication by Δ is an injection, in particular,

$$\begin{tikzcd} \left(\frac{R}{(x_1,\ldots,x_d)}\right)_c \arrow[r, hook, "\Delta"] & \left(\frac{R}{(y_1,\ldots,y_d)}\right)_{c+\deg(\Delta)} \end{tikzcd}$$

is a graded homomorphism. Thus, if

$$\dim_k(R/(x_1, \ldots, x_d))_c > 2,$$

so is $\dim_k(R/(y_1, \ldots, y_d))_{c+\deg(\Delta)}$. But $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ satisfies (3), thus we must have that $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ satisfies the same property. □

This next proposition distinguishes super-stretched rings from that of stretched rings as not all stretched rings have this property. Further, when R is a zero dimensional super-stretched ring, Proposition 3.3 fails as can be seen by the ring $k[x]/(x^4)$.

Proposition 3.3. *If* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *is a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension* $d > 0$ *that is super-stretched, then for all homogeneous minimal reductions* $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ *of the maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$*, we have* $\mathfrak{m}^3 = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)\mathfrak{m}^2$*.*

Proof. Induct on d. For the dimension one case let x be a minimal reduction. (Note that $\deg(x) = 1$.) Because R is super stretched, we have that $\dim_k(R/xR)_2 = 1$. Further,

$$\dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}^2 + (x)}{\mathfrak{m}^3 + (x)} = \dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}^2}{\mathfrak{m}^3 + ((x) \cap \mathfrak{m}^2)} = \dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}^2}{\mathfrak{m}^3 + x\mathfrak{m}} = 1.$$

Note that the second equality is always true as $(x) \cap \mathfrak{m}^2 = x\mathfrak{m}$ if $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$. The displayed equality says that there is a $y \in \mathfrak{m}^2 - (x\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^3)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = x\mathfrak{m} + (y) + \mathfrak{m}^3$. By Nakayama's lemma we have $\mathfrak{m}^2 = x\mathfrak{m} + (y)$.

By the super-stretched hypothesis we can also consider R modulo x^2. Due to the grading we have that $(x^2) \cap \mathfrak{m}^3 = x^2\mathfrak{m}$. This gives us

$$\dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}^3}{\mathfrak{m}^4 + x^2\mathfrak{m}} = 1.$$

Thus, as before, there exists $z \in \mathfrak{m}^3 - (x^2\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^4)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^3 = x^2\mathfrak{m} + (z) + \mathfrak{m}^4$. Nakayama's lemma shows that $\mathfrak{m}^3 = x^2\mathfrak{m} + (z)$.

Notice that we can choose z to be anything in $\mathfrak{m}^3 - (x^2\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^4)$. We would like to choose $z = xy$, but first we must show

Claim. The element xy is not in $x^2\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^4$.

If the claim holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}^3 &= x^2\mathfrak{m} + (z) \\ &= x^2\mathfrak{m} + (xy) \\ &= x(x\mathfrak{m} + (y)) \\ &= x\mathfrak{m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result for dimension one.

To show the claim, let n be minimally chosen such that $\mathfrak{m}^n = x\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$ and suppose $xy \in x^2\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^4$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^2 = x\mathfrak{m} + (y)$, we have that $x\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq x^2\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^4$. Assume that $n > 3$ and multiply by $\mathfrak{m}^{n-3}$. As R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that x is a non-zero divisor. Cancel the x's to observe that $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subseteq x\mathfrak{m}^{n-2} + \mathfrak{m}^n$. This forces $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} = x\mathfrak{m}^{n-2}$, a contradiction since n was chose to be minimal. Thus $xy \notin (x^2\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^4)$.

For higher dimensions we may assume that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{m}^3 + (x_d)}{(x_d)} = \frac{(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})\mathfrak{m}^2 + (x_d)}{(x_d)}.$$

Lifting gives us the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})\mathfrak{m}^2 + (x_d).$$

Notice by the grading, and the regularity of x_d, we have that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (\mathfrak{m}^3 : x_d)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}^3 &= (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})\mathfrak{m}^2 + x_d(\mathfrak{m}^3 : x_d) \\ &= (x_1, \ldots, x_d)\mathfrak{m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Before moving on to Proposition 3.4, we notice that for elements $a_1, \dots, a_k$ of a ring R, and for every positive integer m,

$$(a_1^m, \dots, a_k^m)(a_1, \dots, a_k)^{(k-1)(m-1)} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)^{(m-1)k+1}. \tag{8}$$

A proof of this can be found in [17, Equation 8.1.6].

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a d-dimensional standard graded ring and let the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a homogeneous reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_d)\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^3$. If R is stretched, then $(x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)$ satisfies (3) for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. We would like to show that for all $t > 0$,

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{R}{(x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)} \right)_i < 2$$

for each $i \geqslant dt - d + 2$. In particular, we need that

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2}}{\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} + (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2}} \right) < 2.$$

To show this, we need to first show the equality

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2}}{\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} + (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2}} \right) = \dim_k \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2}}{(x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2-t}} \right). \tag{9}$$

In order to see equation (9), notice that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} + (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2} = \mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} + (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2-t}. \tag{10}$$

As $(x_1, \dots, x_d)\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^3$, we have that for any positive integer N, $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^N \mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^{N+2}$. Further, if we consider $\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3}$ and let $N = d(t-1) + 1$, we have that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} = \mathfrak{m}^{d(t-1)+3} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^{d(t-1)+1}\mathfrak{m}^2.$$

By (8) we have that $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{d(t-1)+1} = (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{(d-1)(t-1)}$. Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} &= (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{(d-1)(t-1)}\mathfrak{m}^2 \\ &= (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(t-1)+2} \\ &\subseteq (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(t-1)+1} = (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this fact to Equation (10) allows us to write

$$\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+3} + (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2} = (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2-t},$$

and hence equality holds in Equation (9).

The next step is to show that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{dt-d} = (x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{dt-d-t} + (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d)^{t-1}. \tag{11}$$

Notice that the generators of $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{dt-d-t}$ are all the monomials in $x_1, \dots, x_d$ of degree $dt-d-t$. Thus the generators of the product $(x_1^t, \dots, x_d^t)(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{dt-d-t}$ are monomials m in $x_1, \dots, x_d$ of degree $d(t-1)$ such that $x_j^t | m$ for some $j = 1, 2, \dots d$. Call the set of these monomials M. The monomials in M are also a part of a minimal generating set of the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{dt-d}$. In fact, the set $N = \{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_d^{n_d} \mid \sum n_i = dt - d\}$ is a generating set for the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{dt-d}$. Hence, the elements in M that are not in N are

$$N \setminus M = \{m = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_d^{n_d} \mid \sum n_i = d(t-1) \text{ and such that } x_j^t \nmid m \text{ for all } j\}.$$

This implies that $m \in N \setminus M$ is an element of the ideal $(x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^{t-1}$ as $\deg(m) = d(t-1)$ and x_j^t does not divide m. We therefore have the equality in Equation (11).

Since $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is a reduction, we can write $\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2} = \mathfrak{m}^2(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{dt-d}$. Combining this with Equation (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2} &= \mathfrak{m}^2(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{dt-d} \\
&= \mathfrak{m}^2((x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{dt-d-t} + (x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^{t-1}) \\
&= (x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{dt-d-t}\mathfrak{m}^2 + (x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^{t-1}\mathfrak{m}^2 \\
&= (x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d-t+2} + (x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^{t-1}\mathfrak{m}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Because R is stretched, we may proceed as in Proposition 3.3 and choose a $y \in \mathfrak{m}^2 - ((x_1, \ldots, x_d)\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^3)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)\mathfrak{m} + (y)$. Substituting into the above relation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2} &= (x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d-t+2} + (x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^{t-1}((x_1, \ldots, x_d)\mathfrak{m} + (y)) \\
&= (x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d-t+2} + (y(x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^{t-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, modulo $(x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d-t+2}$, we have that $\mathfrak{m}^{dt-d+2}$ is one dimensional. ☐

We are now ready to state and prove some equivalent characterizations of super-stretched. This is also the main result of this section and is used to show that rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type are super-stretched (see Theorem 4.3).

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d > 0$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *R is super-stretched;*
2. *R is stretched and $J\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^3$ for every homogeneous reduction J of the maximal ideal;*
3. *R is stretched and $J\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^3$ for some homogeneous reduction J of the maximal ideal.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): This is an application of Proposition 3.3.

(2) ⇒ (3): This is straightforward.

(3) ⇒ (1): Assume that J is as in (3) and is generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_d$. Let $(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ be an ideal of R generated by a homogeneous system of parameters. We have that there exists a t such that $\mathfrak{m}^t \subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. In particular, $(x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t) \subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. By proposition 3.2, $(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ satisfies (3) since $(x_1^t, \ldots, x_d^t)$ satisfies (3) by proposition 3.4. Therefore, R is super-stretched. ☐

3.1. **Super-Stretched and h-vectors.**

The next two results are immediate corollaries of Theorem 3.5 that describe the h-vector of a super-stretched ring.

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a standard graded super-stretched ring of dimension $d > 0$ with infinite residue field k. Then the h-vector of R is of one of the following forms: (1), $(1, n)$, or $(1, n, 1)$ for some non-zero positive integer n.*

Proof. Let J be a minimal reduction of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Since R is super-stretched, we have by Theorem 3.5 that $J\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^3$. Let $\overline{R} = R/J$ and notice that we have

$$H_{\overline{R}}(3) = \dim_k\left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^3}{\mathfrak{m}^4 + J \cap \mathfrak{m}^3}\right) = \dim_k\left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^3}{\mathfrak{m}^4 + J\mathfrak{m}^2}\right) = \dim_k\left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^3}{\mathfrak{m}^4 + \mathfrak{m}^3}\right) = 0.$$

This forces $H_{\overline{R}}(n) = 0$ for all $n > 2$. The fact that R is stretched forces $H_{\overline{R}}(2) \leqslant 1$. Therefore, the only possible h-vectors are (1), $(1, n)$, or $(1, n, 1)$ where n is a non-zero positive integer. □

Corollary 3.7. *A standard graded hypersurface with positive dimension and multiplicity at most* 3 *is super-stretched.*

Proof. Let R be a hypersurface with multiplicity $e \leqslant 3$. As the sum of the h-vector is the multiplicity, the only possible h-vectors are (1), $(1, 1)$, and $(1, 1, 1)$. All of these satisfy condition 3 of Theorem 3.5. □

4. Super-Stretched and Graded Countable Type

In this section we generalize [6, Theorem A] to standard graded rings of countable Cohen-Macaulay type. The proof of Theorem 4.3 is an extension of D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog's proof and follows the same basic outline. The following lemmata are helpful in proving Theorem 4.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded ring and* M, N *be finitely generated graded* R*-modules such that* $N = Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_s$, $M = Ry_1 + \cdots + Ry_t$ *with* $\deg(x_i) = n_i$, $\deg(y_j) = m_j$ *and* $t = \max\{n_i\} < \min\{m_j\}$*. Let* ϕ *and* ψ *be a graded presentations of* N *and* $N + M$ *(respectively) sending* $R(-n_i)$ *to* x_i *and* $R(-m_j)$ *to* y_j*. Consider the following commutative diagram defined by the canonical injection:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \bigoplus R(-n_i) \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, hook, "h"'] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r] & \bigoplus R(-n_i) \oplus \bigoplus R(-m_j) \arrow[r, "\psi"] & N + M \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where K *and* L *are the respective kernels. If* $z \in L$ *is such that* $\deg(z) \leqslant t$*, then* $z \in \operatorname{im}(h)$*. In other words, any syzygy of* $N + M$ *with degree less than* $t + 1$ *comes from a syzygy of* N*.*

Proof. By choice of $z \in L$, we know that z is also in $\bigoplus R(-n_i)$ since $\max\{n_i\} < \min\{m_j\}$. Since ϕ is ψ restricted $\bigoplus R(-n_i)$, we see that z is indeed in the image of h. □

Lemma 4.2. *If* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *is a standard graded ring such that* $\dim_k(R_i) > 1$ *for some* $i > 0$*, then there exists* $|k|$ *many distinct homogeneous ideals in* R*.*

Proof. Let x, y be distinct basis elements of R_i and let $\alpha, \beta \in k$. Assume that

$$(x + \alpha y) = (x + \beta y).$$

Since R is graded, there exist $\gamma \in k$ such that $x + \alpha y = \gamma(x + \beta y)$ in R_i. Hence

$$(1 - \gamma)x + (\alpha - \beta\gamma)y = 0 \tag{12}$$

in R. In particular, this relation holds in the vector space R_i as $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$. Thus the coefficients of x and y are zero and we have that $\gamma = 1$ and $\alpha = \beta$. Therefore the conclusion follows. □

We are now ready to prove the generalization of D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog's result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension* $d > 0$ *with uncountable residue field* k. *If* R *is of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type then it is super-stretched.*

Proof. Let R be as above with $\dim(R) = d$. Assume R is not super-stretched and let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a homogeneous system of parameters such that

$$\dim_k(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d))_c \geqslant 2$$

for some

$$c \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{d} \deg(x_j) - d + 2. \tag{13}$$

If we have that $\dim_k(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d))_c \geqslant 2$, then $\dim_k(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d))_l \geqslant 2$ for all $2 \leqslant l \leqslant c$. Therefore, without losing any generality, we can assume equality in (13). Let $\overline{R} = R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and consider $\overline{y} \in (\overline{R})_c$. Define $I_{\overline{y}} \subseteq R$ to be a preimage of $(\overline{y})$. For each $\overline{y} \in \overline{R}_c$, we shall associate a graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay module $M_{\overline{y}}$ such that the family $\{M_{\overline{y}}\}_{\overline{y} \in \overline{R}_c}$ has the following properties:

1. Let $(M_{\overline{y}})_i$ be the graded components of $M_{\overline{y}}$. We have that $\dim_k(M_{\overline{y}})_{<t} = 0$ and $\dim_k(M_{\overline{y}})_t = 1$ where $t = \sum \deg(x_j)$.
2. There is a unique indecomposable summand $N_{\overline{y}}$ of $M_{\overline{y}}$ such that $(N_{\overline{y}})_t = (M_{\overline{y}})_t$.
3. If $\overline{M_{\overline{y}}} = M_{\overline{y}}/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M_{\overline{y}}$, then $\operatorname{ann}_R(\overline{M_{\overline{y}}})_t = I_{\overline{y}}$ where t is as in (1).

Assuming these three claims, we show there exists uncountably many graded indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. Hence by Proposition 2.9, R cannot be of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type.

As in (2), let $N_{\overline{y}}$ and $N_{\overline{y}'}$ be the unique indecomposable summands of $M_{\overline{y}}$ and $M_{\overline{y}'}$ for $\overline{y}, \overline{y}' \in \overline{R}_c$. Suppose that there is an isomorphism $N_{\overline{y}} \simeq N_{\overline{y}'}$ in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. Thus we have that $Re \mapsto Re'$ where e and e' are generators of $(N_{\overline{y}})_t$ and $(N_{\overline{y}'})_t$ (respectively). This implies

$$N_{\overline{y}}/(x_1, \dots, x_d)N_{\overline{y}} \simeq N_{\overline{y}'}/(x_1, \dots, x_d)N_{\overline{y}'}.$$

Once again, under this isomorphism, $R\overline{e} \mapsto R\overline{e}'$. From (3), we have that $\operatorname{ann}_R(\overline{e}) = I_{\overline{y}}$ and $\operatorname{ann}_R(\overline{e}') = I_{\overline{y}'}$, which forces $I_{\overline{y}} = I_{\overline{y}'}$. Thus $(\overline{y}) = (\overline{y}')$. Note that $\dim_k(\overline{R}_c) \geqslant 2$, so by Lemma 4.2 there exists uncountably many ideals $(\overline{y})$, where $\overline{y} \in \overline{R}_c$. As such there must be uncountably many graded indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$ and we are finished by Proposition 2.9.

To show property (1), consider the Koszul complex $K_{\cdot}$ of the homogeneous system of parameters $x_1, \dots, x_d$,

$$K_{\cdot} : 0 \longrightarrow K_d \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that for $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, $K_i \simeq \bigoplus_{|J|=i} R(-\sum_{j \in J} \deg x_j)$. Let Ω_i be the i^{th} syzygy of $K_{\cdot}$ and fix $\overline{y} \in (\overline{R})_c$. Further, let $I_{\overline{y}} = (x_1, \dots, x_d, y)$ be a preimage of $(\overline{y})$ and consider the minimal resolution $F_{\cdot}$ of $R/I_{\overline{y}}$. From $F_{\cdot}$, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow \bigoplus R(-\deg(x_i)) \oplus R(-c) \longrightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_d, y) \longrightarrow 0$$

where M_2 is the second syzygy of $R/I_{\overline{y}}$. Notice that

$$c = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \deg(x_j) - d + 2 > \max\{\deg x_i\}.$$

Therefore by Lemma 4.1, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \Omega_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & K_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & (x_1, \dots, x_d) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M_2 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus R(-\deg(x_j)) \oplus R(-c) \arrow[r] & (x_1, \dots, x_d, y) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the minimal graded components of M_2 coming from the image of Ω_2.

In the resolution $F_.$, any shift coming from y will be bounded below by $c + i$. Since

$$c + i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \deg(x_j) - (d - (i+1)) + 2 > \max\{\sum_{\substack{|J|=i+1 \\ j \in J}} \deg x_j\},$$

we have that

$$\text{shift from } y \geqslant c + i > \max\{\sum_{\substack{|J|=i+1 \\ j \in J}} \deg x_j\}.$$

Thus, we for each i we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \Omega_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & K_{i-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \Omega_{i-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M_i \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{|J|=i-1} R(-\sum_{j \in J} \deg(x_j)) \oplus \bigoplus R(-d_{i-1,j}) \arrow[r] & M_{i-1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and each minimal graded component of M_i is an image of a minimal generator of Ω_i. When $i = d$, we have that $K_d \hookrightarrow M_d$ and any minimal graded generator of M_d is of degree $t = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \deg x_j$. The injection shows this is unique. Thus these modules satisfy property (1). We denote M_d by $M_{\overline{y}}$.

Property (2) is a straight forward consequence of (1). As there is a unique minimal element in $M_{\overline{y}}$, say $Re = (M_{\overline{y}})_t$, it must be contained in a unique indecomposable summand $N_{\overline{y}}$ of $M_{\overline{y}}$. Therefore $(N_{\overline{y}})_t = (M_{\overline{y}})_t$.

To prove (3), let $\overline{F}_. = F_. \otimes R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$ and consider $\operatorname{Tor}_d^R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d), R/I_{\overline{y}})$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, any system of parameters is a regular sequence. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Tor}_d^R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d), R/I_{\overline{y}}) \simeq H_d(x_1, \dots, x_d; R/I_{\overline{y}}),$$

where $H_d(x_1, \dots, x_d; R/I_{\overline{y}})$ is the d^{th} homology of the Koszul complex of the homogeneous system of parameters $x_1, \dots, x_d$ with values in $R/I_{\overline{y}}$. Since the x_i annihilate $R/I_{\overline{y}}$, we have

$$H_d(x_1, \dots, x_d; R/I_{\overline{y}}) \simeq R/I_{\overline{y}}(-t).$$

Apply $\cdot \otimes_R R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ to the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M_{\overline{y}} \arrow[r] & F_{d-1} \arrow[r] & M_{d-1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

to get

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(x_1, \dots, x_d), M_{d-1}) \arrow[r] & M_{\overline{y}}/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M_{\overline{y}} \arrow[r] & \overline{F}_{d-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/(x_1,\ldots,x_d),M_{d-1})\simeq\operatorname{Tor}_d(R/x_1,\ldots,x_d,R/I_{\overline{y}})$, we have

$$0\longrightarrow R/I_{\overline{y}}(-t)\longrightarrow M_{\overline{y}}/(x_1,\ldots,x_d)M_{\overline{y}}\xrightarrow{\alpha}\overline{F}_{d-1}.$$

Since $e\in M_{\overline{y}}$ corresponds to the generator of K_d, it is clear that $\overline{e}\mapsto 0$, and it follows from the exact sequence that $R\overline{e}\simeq R/I_{\overline{y}}(-t)$. □

Remark 4.4. It is worth noting that we are able to lift the restriction of the isomorphism classes in the definition of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type by way of Proposition 2.9. Thus Theorem 4.3 holds if we have countably many graded indecomposable modules up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}(R)$. This is a much stronger statement as we do not require graded isomorphisms.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with uncountable residue field k. If R is of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type then it is super-stretched.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring with graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type. Then the possible h-vectors are (1), $(1,n)$, or $(1,n,1)$ for some integer n.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 3.6. □

5. MINIMAL MULTIPLICITY AND GRADED COUNTABLE TYPE

For a d-dimensional standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$, let $e(R)$ be the multiplicity of R. If $e(R)=\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)-\dim R+1$ then R is said to have minimal multiplicity. In the case when k is infinite, the following are equivalent:

- R has minimal multiplicity;
- there exists a regular sequence $x_1,\ldots,x_d$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^2=(x_1,\ldots,x_d)\mathfrak{m}$;
- the h-vector of R is of the form $(1,n)$.

In [6], D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog showed that standard graded rings of graded finite Cohen-Macaulay type and $\dim(R)>1$ have minimal multiplicity. Using Theorem 4.3, we are able to extend this result to non-Gorenstein rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type with $\dim(R)>2$.

In order to prove Proposition 5.1, we need a countable version of Auslander and Reiten's result (Theorem 2.12) on the dimension of the singular locus. In [10, Theorem 1.3], C. Huneke and G. Leuschke show that the singular locus of a local ring of countable Cohen-Macaulay type has dimension at most one. The proof of the graded version of [10, Theorem 1.3] can be found in [16, Theorem 2.5.9].

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type that is not Gorenstein and* $\dim R\geqslant 3$. *Then R must be a domain and have minimal multiplicity.*

Proof. Since R is of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type, we know that the dimension of the singular locus is at most one. Since $\dim(R)\geqslant 3$, we have that R satisfies Serre's condition (R_1). Further, as R is Cohen-Macaulay, we know that R also satisfies Serre's condition (S_2). Thus by Serre's criterion, R must be a normal. By normality, we can write it as a finite direct product of integrally closed domains [17, Lemma 2.1.15]. As R is standard graded, we have that $R_0=k$ and thus there is only one term in the direct product. Hence R is also a domain.

By Corollary 4.6, we know that R is either of minimal multiplicity or has h-vector $(1, n, 1)$ for some positive integer n. Since R is not Gorenstein, there must be a socle element in degree one. However, [6, Theorem B] forces R to have minimal multiplicity. □

Remark 5.2. It is worth noting that standard graded Cohen-Macaulay rings of countable Cohen-Macaulay type and dimension at least 3 are normal domains. Even though Proposition 5.1 assumed the ring was not Gorenstein, the argument to show that the ring was a normal domain still holds.

6. Gorenstein Rings of Graded Countable Type

It turns out that there are a few instances when stretched and super-stretched coincide. In particular, this happens when the ring in question is zero dimensional or when the ring is a complete intersection that is not a hypersurface.

A zero dimensional ring does not have any system of parameters. Hence, we see that the expression $\sum \deg(x_i) - d + 2$ in the definition of super-stretched becomes just 2. From here it is easy to see that the two definitions are equivalent. A little more work is needed to see this for complete intersections.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded complete intersection that is stretched with k an infinite field. Then R is a hypersurface or defined by two quadrics.*

Proof. Let $S = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ and $R = S/(f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ with $\dim(R) = d$ and $\deg(f_i) = d_i$. Further, let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ be a minimal reduction of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Given that R is a complete intersection, we know that the Hilbert function of $R/\mathbf{x}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\frac{S}{(f_1,\ldots,f_m,x_1,\ldots,x_d)}}(t) &= \frac{(1-t^{d_1})\cdots(1-t^{d_m})\cdot(1-t)^d}{(1-t)^n} \\ &= (1+t+\cdots+t^{d_1-1})\cdots(1+t+\cdots+t^{d_m-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As R is Gorenstein and stretched, we know that the h-vector is of the form $(1, 1)$ or $(1, N, 1)$ for some $N > 0$. It is enough to only consider the h-vector $(1, N, 1)$.

If the h-vector is $(1, N, 1)$, then (14) is of the form $1 + Nt + t^2$. In particular, the only case to consider is when $N = 2$. In this case, (14) is $(1+t)(1+t)$ and thus the ideal I is generated by two quadrics. □

Example 1.5 showed that a hypersurface can be stretched but not super-stretched. As it turns out, this is not the case when the ring is a complete intersection defined by 2 quadrics. Before we move on, recall that for a Cohen-Macaulay ring R with h-vector

$$(H_{\overline{R}}(0), H_{\overline{R}}(1), \ldots, H_{\overline{R}}(s)),$$

the socle degree of R is defined to be $\operatorname{SocDeg}(R) = s$.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded complete intersection that is not a hypersurface. Then R is stretched if and only if R is super-stretched.*

Proof. It is enough to show that stretched implies super-stretched. To do this we show that the socle degree of R modulo a homogeneous system of parameters is not too large. Since R is not a hypersurface, Proposition 6.1 implies that $R = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]/(f_1, f_g)$, $\dim(R) = d$, and $\deg(f_i) = 2$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ be an ideal generated by a homogeneous system of parameters. As the h-vector of R is $(1,2,1)$, we have that the socle degree of $R/\mathbf{x}$ is

$$\operatorname{SocDeg}(R/\mathbf{x}) = \deg(f_1) + \deg(f_2) + \sum \deg x_j - (2+d) = \sum \deg x_j - d + 2.$$

Thus for $i \geqslant \sum \deg x_j - d + 2$,

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{R}{\mathbf{x}}\right)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \sum \deg x_j - d + 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > \sum \deg x_j - d + 2 \end{cases}$$

for any homogeneous system of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ of R. Therefore R is super-stretched as well. ☐

6.1. **One Dimensional Rings.** In an effort to show the one dimensional graded case of Conjecture 1.1, we apply our results to rings of dimension one. In doing so, we obtain some nice ring structure.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a standard graded one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring with uncountable residue field k. If R is of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type, then R is a hypersurface or of minimal multiplicity.*

Proof. We proceed by proving the contrapositive. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a homogeneous minimal reduction of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. As R is not a hypersurface, we know that $H_{R/xR}(1) \geqslant 2$. Further, since R does not have minimal multiplicity, we know $x\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$. So let $a, b \in \mathfrak{m}$ be distinct elements of a minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $a^2 \notin x\mathfrak{m}$ or $ab \notin x\mathfrak{m}$. Notice that any ideal of the form $(x, a+\alpha b)$, where $\alpha \in k$, is a graded indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. By Proposition 2.9, it is enough to show there are uncountably many such ideals up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$.

Consider the ideals $I_\alpha := (x, a+\alpha b)$ and $I_\beta := (x, a+\beta b)$ where $\alpha, \beta \in k$ and view them as objects in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. Let φ be an isomorphism between I_α and I_β in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$. As such, φ is a graded degree zero map

$$I_\alpha = (x, a+\alpha b) \overset{\varphi}{\simeq} (x, a+\beta b) = I_\beta$$

given by

$$\begin{tikzcd} x \arrow[r, mapsto] & d_1 x + d_2(a+\beta b) \\ a+\alpha b \arrow[r, mapsto] & d_3 x + d_4(a+\beta b). \end{tikzcd}$$

Hence we see that the d_i's are elements of k for $i = 1,2,3,4$. Consider the relation

$$x\varphi(a+\alpha b) - (a+\alpha b)\varphi(x) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$d_3x^2 + d_4x(a+\beta b) - d_1x(a+\alpha b) - d_2(a^2 + (\alpha+\beta)ab + \alpha\beta b^2) = 0. \tag{15}$$

From here we can focus on d_2. If $d_2 = 0$, then we have the relation

$$d_3x^2 + d_4x(a+\beta b) - d_1x(a+\alpha b) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since x is a non-zero divisor, we can cancel x and rearrange (16) as a k-linear combination of x, a, b

$$d_3x + (d_4 - d_1)a + (\beta d_4 - \alpha d_1)b = 0.$$

As x, a, b are independent over k, we have that the coefficients are zero. In particular $d_4 - d_1 = 0$. Since $d_1 d_4 - d_2 d_3 \neq 0$, we know that $d_1 = d_4 \neq 0$. Thus the fact that $\beta d_4 - \alpha d_1 = 0$ implies that $\alpha = \beta$. Hence there are uncountably many ideals I_α up to isomorphism in $\mathfrak{MCM}^{\mathrm{gr}}(R)$.

If we assume that $d_2 \neq 0$, then (15) modulo $x\mathfrak{m}$, shows that

$$a^2 + (\alpha + \beta)ab + \alpha\beta b^2 \equiv 0.$$

If $a^2 \notin x\mathfrak{m}$, notice that $R_2 = (a^2, xR_1)$. Thus, there exists a fixed $\gamma, \sigma \in k$ such that modulo $x\mathfrak{m}$ we have

$$ab \equiv \gamma a^2;$$
$$b^2 \equiv \sigma a^2.$$

Therefore

$$a^2 \cdot (1 + \gamma(\alpha + \beta) + \sigma\alpha\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{x\mathfrak{m}}. \tag{17}$$

As a^2 is non-zero modulo $x\mathfrak{m}$ and $1 + \gamma(\alpha + \beta) + \sigma\alpha\beta$ is a degree zero element, the grading forces

$$1 + \gamma(\alpha + \beta) + \sigma\alpha\beta = 0$$

in the field k. In particular, every α, β such that $I_\alpha \simeq I_\beta$ is a solution to

$$f(X, Y) = 1 + \gamma(X + Y) + \sigma XY \in k[X, Y].$$

This forces $f(X, Y)$ to be identically zero, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $ab \notin x\mathfrak{m}$ then there exists a fixed $\gamma', \sigma' \in k$ such that modulo $x\mathfrak{m}$ we have

$$a^2 \equiv \gamma' a^2;$$
$$b^2 \equiv \sigma' a^2.$$

Therefore

$$ab \cdot (\gamma' + (\alpha + \beta) + \sigma'\alpha\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{x\mathfrak{m}} \tag{18}$$

and we recover a similar contradiction as we did from Equation (17). □

Applying this Theorem 6.3 to rings of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type brings to light some very useful structure. In particular, if the ring is Gorenstein, then we have a hypersurface! We now have the graded, one-dimensional case of Conjecture 1.1.

Corollary 6.4. *Let* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *be a standard graded one dimensional Gorenstein ring with uncountable residue field* k. *If* R *is of graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type, then* R *is a hypersurface ring.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.3, R is either a hypersurface or of minimal multiplicity (or both). By Corollary 4.6 and the fact that R is Gorenstein, we know that the possible h-vectors are (1), $(1, 1)$, or $(1, n, 1)$. Thus if R has minimal multiplicity, then R must also be a hypersurface. □

7. Acknowledgements

The author would especially like to thank Craig Huneke for several useful conversations and inspiration for the project. We also thank Alessandro De Stefani for helpful discussions during the preparation of this material. Additionally, calculations in this note were inspired by many Macaulay2 [8] computations.

References

[1] V. I. Arnol′d. Critical points of smooth functions. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, B. C., 1974), Vol. 1*, pages 19–39. Canad. Math. Congress, Montreal, Que., 1975.

[2] Maurice Auslander. Isolated singularities and existence of almost split sequences. In *Representation theory, II (Ottawa, Ont., 1984)*, volume 1178 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 194–242. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[3] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Cohen-Macaulay modules for graded Cohen-Macaulay rings and their completions. In *Commutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1987)*, volume 15 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 21–31. Springer, New York, 1989.

[4] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. The Cohen-Macaulay type of Cohen-Macaulay rings. *Adv. in Math.*, 73(1):1–23, 1989.

[5] R.-O. Buchweitz, G.-M. Greuel, and F.-O. Schreyer. Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. II. *Invent. Math.*, 88(1):165–182, 1987.

[6] David Eisenbud and Jürgen Herzog. The classification of homogeneous Cohen-Macaulay rings of finite representation type. *Math. Ann.*, 280(2):347–352, 1988.

[7] Louiza Fouli and Craig Huneke. What is a system of parameters? *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(8):2681–2696, 2011.

[8] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[9] Jürgen Herzog. Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln. *Math. Ann.*, 233(1):21–34, 1978.

[10] Craig Huneke and Graham J. Leuschke. Local rings of countable Cohen-Macaulay type. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(10):3003–3007 (electronic), 2003.

[11] Horst Knörrer. Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. I. *Invent. Math.*, 88(1):153–164, 1987.

[12] Graham J. Leuschke and Roger Wiegand. *Cohen-Macaulay representations*, volume 181 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[13] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees. Reductions of ideals in local rings. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 50:145–158, 1954.

[14] Judith D. Sally. Stretched Gorenstein rings. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 20(1):19–26, 1979.

[15] Frank-Olaf Schreyer. Finite and countable CM-representation type. In *Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985)*, volume 1273 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 9–34. Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[16] Branden Stone. *Super-stretched and graded countable Cohen-Macaulay type*. 2012. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Kansas.

[17] Irena Swanson and Craig Huneke. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[18] Oleksii Tovpyha. Graded Cohen-Macaulay rings of wild representation type. *arXiv.org*, math.AC, Dec 2012. arXiv:1212.6557v1 [math.AG].

[19] Yuji Yoshino. *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 146 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.